arXiv:1511.03624v1 [math.AT] 11 Nov 2015

B-RIGIDITY OF FLAG 2-SPHERES WITHOUT 4-BELT

FEIFEI FAN, JUN MA AND XIANGJUN WANG

Abstract. Associated to every finite simplicial complex K, there is a moment-angle complex $\mathcal{Z}_K$. In this paper, we use some algebraic invariants to solve the B-rigidity problem for some special simplicial compelexes.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we assume K is a simplcial complex with vertex set $[m] = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$, and $\mathbf{k}$ is a field unless otherwise stated. Denote by $MF(K)$ the set of all missing faces of K.

Associated to every finite simplicial complex K, there is a moment-angle complex $\mathcal{Z}_K$. The study of the moment-angle complexes is one of the main problems in toric topology, and one of the main tool to study this object is the cohomology of $\mathcal{Z}_K$. Around its cohomology, there is a classical problem proposed by Buchstaber in his lecture note [4].

Question 1.1. Let K_1 and K_2 be two simplicial complexes, and let $\mathcal{Z}_{K_1}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{K_2}$ be their respective moment-angle complexes. When a graded ring isomorphism $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{K_1}; \mathbf{k}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{K_2}; \mathbf{k})$ implies a combinatorial equivalence $K_1 \approx K_2$?

Let us call the simplicial complex giving the positive answer to the question *B-rigid over* $\mathbf{k}$ (if $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{Z}$, simply refer to it as *B-rigid*).

Because the topology of a moment-angel complex $\mathcal{Z}_K$ is uniquely determined by its underling simplicial complex K, the answer to this question can be a guideline for the following important problem in toric topology.

Question 1.2. Suppose $\mathcal{Z}_{K_1}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{K_2}$ are two moment-angle manifolds such that

$$H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{K_1}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{K_2})$$

as graded rings. Are $\mathcal{Z}_{K_1}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{K_1}$ homeomorphic?

In this paper we study the B-rigidity of a special kind of simplicial complexes: *flag 2-spheres without 4-belt* by following the technique in [7, §7].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A19, 05E40, 13F55, 52B05, 52B10.

The first and third authors are supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC no. 11471167).

2. Definitions

Definition 2.1. Given a subset $I \subseteq [m]$, define $K_I \subseteq K$ to be the *full sub-complex* of K consisting of all simplices of K which have all of their vertices in I, that is

$$K_I := \{\sigma \cap I \mid \sigma \in K\}.$$

Definition 2.2. A full subcomplex K_I of K is called an n-belt of K, if K_I is a triangulation of S^1 with n vertices.

Definition 2.3. The *face ring* (also known as the *Stanley-Reisner ring*) of a simplicial complex K is the quotient ring

$$\mathbf{k}(K) := \mathbf{k}[v_1, \dots v_m]/\mathcal{I}_K$$

where $\mathcal{I}_K$ is the ideal generated by the monomials $v_{i_1} \cdots v_{i_s}$ for which $\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_s}\}$ does not span a simplex of K.

To calculate the cohomology of $\mathcal{Z}_K$, Buchstaber and Panov [5] proved the following

Theorem 2.4 (Buchstaber-Panov, [9, Theorem 4.7])**.**

$$H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K; \mathbf{k}) \cong \mathrm{Tor}^{*,*}_{\mathbf{k}[m]}(\mathbf{k}(K), \mathbf{k}) \cong \bigoplus_{I \subseteq [m]} \widetilde{H}^*(K_I; \mathbf{k})$$

The second isomorphism (as $\mathbf{k}$-module) in the above theorem was firstly proved by Hochster [8]. Baskakov [2] defined a natural multiplication structure on the $\mathbf{k}$-module $\bigoplus_{I\subseteq[m]} \widetilde{H}^*(K_I; \mathbf{k})$ so that it can be a ring isomorphism in the above theorem. It is induced by a canonical simplicial inclusion

$$\eta : K_{I\cup J} \hookrightarrow K_I * K_J, \quad I \cap J = \varnothing$$

and isomorphisms of reduced simplicial cochains

$$\mu : \widetilde{C}^{p-1}(K_I; \mathbf{k}) \otimes \widetilde{C}^{q-1}(K_J; \mathbf{k}) \to \widetilde{C}^{p+q-1}(K_I * K_J; \mathbf{k}), \quad p, q \geq 0$$
$$\sigma \otimes \tau \mapsto \sigma \cup \tau$$

We call this ring the *Baskakov-Hochster ring*.

Actually the formula given by Baskakov holds only up to a sign. Buchstaber and Panov indicated this defect and gave a correction in [6]. F. Fan and X. Wang [7, Theorem 2.12] gave a more explicit expression for this sign.

Definition 2.5. A simplicial complex K is said to be *Gorenstein* over* $\mathbf{k}$ if for any simplex $\sigma \in K$ (including $\sigma = \varnothing$)

$$\widetilde{H}^i(\mathrm{link}_K\sigma; \mathbf{k}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{k} & \text{if } i = \dim(\mathrm{link}_K\sigma); \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{Z}$, then K is simply called *Gorenstein**.

It is easily verified that K is Gorenstein iff K is Gorenstein* over any field $\mathbf{k}$. In particular, any simpicial sphere is Gorenstein*.

Theorem 2.6 (Avramov-Golod, [3, Theorem 3.4.5])**.** *An $(n-1)$-dimensional simplicial complex K with m vertices is Gorenstein* over a field $\mathbf{k}$ if and only if* $\mathrm{Tor}_{\mathbf{k}[m]}(\mathbf{k}(K),\mathbf{k})$ *is a Poincaré algebra.*

Definition 2.7. Let A be an algebra over a field $\mathbf{k}$. Given a nonzero element $\alpha \in A$, if a $\mathbf{k}$-subspace $V \subset A$ satisfies for any non-zero element $v \in V$, v is a factor of α (i.e., there exists $u \in A$ such that $v \cdot u = \alpha$), then V is called a *factor space of α in A*. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ the set of all factor spaces of α. Define the *factor index of α* to be

$$\mathrm{ind}_A(\alpha) := \max\{\dim_{\mathbf{k}}(V) \mid V \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha\}.$$

If $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{d} A^i$ is a graded $\mathbf{k}$-algebra, and $\alpha \in A^j$ is a non-zero homogeneous element, if $V \subset A^k$ $(k \leq j)$ is a factor space of α in A, then V is called a *k-factor space of α in A*. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_\alpha^k$ the set of all k-factor spaces of α. The *k-factor index of α in A* is defined to be

$$\mathrm{ind}_A^k(\alpha) := \max\{\dim_{\mathbf{k}}(V) \mid V \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha^k\}$$

Definition 2.8. Let R be a ring. For an element $r \in R$, the *annihilator* of r is defined to be

$$\mathrm{ann}_R(r) := \{a \in R \mid a \cdot r = 0\}.$$

Apparently, if A is an algebra over a field $\mathbf{k}$, then for any element $\alpha \in A$, $\mathrm{ind}_A(\alpha)$ and $\dim_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathrm{ann}_A(\alpha))$ are both algebraic invariants under isomorphisms.

3. Results

The main result of this paper is the following

Theorem 3.1. *Let K be a flag 2-sphere without 4-belt. Then for any simplicial complex K', if there is a graded isomorphism:*

$$H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K) \cong H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{K'}),$$

then K is combinatorially equivalent to K'.

To prove this theorem, first we prove it holds for the case K' is a simplical 2-sphere. Second, we prove that for a simplicial complex K', if $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{K'};\mathbf{k})$ agree with some algebraic property of $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K;\mathbf{k})$ with K a flag 2-sphere, then K' itself must be a flag 2-sphere. We separate off the proof into several lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *Let K be a flag 2-sphere with vertex set $[m]$. Suppose K has no 4-belt. If $\{v_1, v_2\} \in MF(K)$ and v_3 is another vertex of K, then there exists $I \subset [m] \setminus \{v_3\}$ such that $\{v_1, v_2\} \subset I$, K_I is a triangulation of S^1 and $\widetilde{H}^0(K_J) \neq 0$, where $J = \{v_3\} \cup I \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}$.*



FIGURE 1

Proof. By [7, Lemma 5.2], there is a subset $I' \subset [m] \setminus \{v_3\}$, such that $\{v_1, v_2\} \subset I'$ and $K_{I'}$ is a triangulation of S^1. Clearly $K_{I' \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}}$ has two components L_1 and L_2 which are both the triangulation of D^1. Suppose the vertex sets of L_1 and L_2 are $U = \{u_1, \ldots, u_s\}$ and $W = \{w_1, \ldots, w_t\}$ resp. Set $U_0 = \{v_1, v_2\} \cup U$, $W_0 = \{v_1, v_2\} \cup W$. If $\mathrm{link}_K(v_3) \cap L_1 = \varnothing$ or $\mathrm{link}_K(v_3) \cap L_2 = \varnothing$, then we get the desired $I = I'$. So we only consider the case $\mathrm{link}_K(v_3) \cap L_1 \neq \varnothing$ and $\mathrm{link}_K(v_3) \cap L_2 \neq \varnothing$.

First we deal with the case that each of $\mathrm{link}_K(v_3) \cap L_1$ and $\mathrm{link}_K(v_3) \cap L_2$ has only one vertex, say u_{k_0} and w_{j_0} resp. (which are shown in Figure 1). Apparently $K_{I'}$ separates K into two disks K_1 and K_2 with $K_{I'}$ as the common boundary. Suppose $v_3 \in K_1$. Let $\mathcal{V}_{k_0}$ be the vertex set of $\mathrm{link}_{K_2}(u_{k_0})$. Then it is easy to see that there exist $U_0' \subset U_0 \setminus \{u_{k_0}\}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{k_0}' \subset \mathcal{V}_{k_0}$ such that the full subcomplex restricted on $U_1 = U_0' \cup \mathcal{V}_{k_0}'$ ($\{v_1, v_2\} \subset U_1$) is still a triangulation of D^1. Set

$$\Gamma_1 = \bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{V}_{k_0}'} \mathrm{link}_K(u) \cap W.$$

If $\Gamma_1 = \varnothing$, then put $I = U_1 \cup W_0$. It is easily verified that I satisfies the condition in the lemma. Otherwise, for $\Gamma_1 \neq \varnothing$, since K has no 4-belt, we have $w_{j_0} \notin \Gamma_1$ (otherwise $K_{(v_3, u_{k_0}, v, w_{j_0})}$ would be a 4-belt for some $v \in \mathrm{link}_{K_2}(u_{k_0})$). For simplicity we may assume Γ_1 has only one vertex, say w_{j_1} with $j_1 < j_0$. Since K has no 4-belt, $v_3 \notin \mathrm{link}_{K_1}(w_{j_1})$ (otherwise $K_{(w_{j_1}, v_3, u_{k_0}, v)}$ would be a 4-belt for some $v \in \mathrm{link}_{K_2}(u_{k_0})$). Let $\mathcal{S}_{j_1}$ be the vertex set of $\mathrm{link}_{K_1}(w_{j_1})$. As before we can find $W_0' \subset W_0 \setminus \{w_{j_1}\}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{j_1}' \subset \mathcal{S}_{j_1}$ such that the full subcomplex on $W_1 = W_0' \cup \mathcal{S}_{j_1}'$ ($\{v_1, v_2\} \subset W_1$) is a triangulation of D^1. Set

$$\Omega_1 = \bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{S}_{j_1}'} \mathrm{link}_K(w) \cap (U_1 \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}).$$

If $\Omega_1 = \varnothing$, then put $I = W_1 \cup U_1$, we get the desired I. Otherwise for simplicity we may assume Ω_1 has only one vertex u_{k_1} (clearly $k_1 < k_0$). Let $\mathcal{V}_{k_1}$ be the vertex set of $\mathrm{link}_{K_2}(u_{k_1})$, then as before we can find $U_1' \subset U_1 \setminus \{u_{k_1}\}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{k_1}' \subset \mathcal{V}_{k_1}$ such that the full subcomplex on $U_2 = U_1' \cup \mathcal{V}_{k_1}'$ ($\{v_1, v_2\} \subset U_2$) is a triangulation of D^1. Set

$$\Gamma_2 = \bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{V}_{k_1}'} \mathrm{link}_K(u) \cap (W_1 \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}).$$

If $\Gamma_2 = \varnothing$, then put $I = U_2 \cup W_1$, we still get the desired I. Otherwise, for simplicity we may assume Γ_2 has only one vertex w_{j_2} (clearly $j_2 < j_1$). Let $\mathcal{S}_{j_2}$ be the vertex set of $\mathrm{link}_{K_1}(w_{j_2})$. As before we can find $W_1' \subset W_1 \setminus \{w_{j_2}\}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{j_2}' \subset \mathcal{S}_{j_2}$ such that the full subcomplex on $W_2 = W_1' \cup \mathcal{S}_{j_2}'$ ($\{v_1, v_2\} \subset W_2$) is a triangulation of D^1. Set

$$\Omega_2 = \bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{S}_{j_2}'} \mathrm{link}_K(w) \cap (U_2 \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}).$$

If $\Omega_2 = \varnothing$, then we get the desired $I = W_2 \cup U_2$. Otherwise for simplicity we may assume Ω_2 has only one vertex u_{k_2} (clearly $k_2 < k_1$). Continuing this procedure if needed, then after finite step, we can actually get the desired I.

For the general case, we can remove the vertex of $\mathrm{link}_K(v_3) \cap L_1$ one by one by the procedure above. □

Since $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K; \mathbf{k})$ is isomorphic to the Baskakov-Hochster ring $\bigoplus_{I \subseteq [m]} \widetilde{H}^*(K_I; \mathbf{k})$, we do not distinguish these two rings whenever there is no confusion. Define

$$p_J : \bigoplus_{I \subseteq [m]} \widetilde{H}^*(K_I; \mathbf{k}) \to \widetilde{H}^*(K_J; \mathbf{k})$$

to be the projection homomorphism.

If K is a flag complex, then for any missing face ω of K (so ω contains only two vertices), $\widetilde{H}^*(K_\omega) = \widetilde{H}^*(S^0) = \mathbf{k}$. Denote by $\widetilde{\omega}$ a generator of this group.

Lemma 3.3. *Let K be a flag 2-sphere without 4-belt. Suppose $MF(K) = \{\omega_1, \dots \omega_n\}$, and $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \cdot \widetilde{\omega}_i$, $r_i \in \mathbf{k}$. Define $\mathcal{M}_\alpha = \{i \mid r_i \neq 0\}$. If $|\mathcal{M}_\alpha| \geq 2$, then for each $i \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathrm{ann}_R(\widetilde{\omega}_i)) > \dim_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathrm{ann}_R(\alpha)),$$

where $R = H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K; \mathbf{k})$.

Proof. In this proof, We omit the coefficient ring $\mathbf{k}$ in the cohomology groups. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\alpha = \widetilde{\omega}_1 + \widetilde{\omega}_2$, and we only prove the inequality for $\widetilde{\omega}_1$. View R as a vector space over $\mathbf{k}$, then we can find a complementary subspace $V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1}$ of $\mathrm{ann}_R(\widetilde{w}_1)$ in R such that

$$\mathrm{ann}(\widetilde{\omega}_1) \oplus V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1} = R.$$

It is easy to see that for any $\beta \in V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1}$, $\beta\widetilde{\omega}_1 \neq 0$. In fact, we can choose $V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1}$ properly, such that $V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1}$ has a basis $\{\beta_1, \dots \beta_s\}$ with the property that for each i, $\beta_i \in \widetilde{H}^*(K_{I_i})$ for some $I_i \subset [m]$. This procedure can be realized as follows: For each $I \subset [m]$, choose a complementary subspace $V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1, I}$ of $\mathrm{ann}_R(\widetilde{w}_1) \cap \widetilde{H}^*(K_I)$ in $\widetilde{H}^*(K_I)$, then $V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1}$ can be defined by

$$V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1} = \bigoplus_{I \subset [m]} V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1, I}.$$

With this assumption on $V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1}$, it is easily verified that for any $\beta \in V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1}$, $\beta\alpha \neq 0$ (note that $\beta_j\widetilde{\omega}_i \in \widetilde{H}^*(K_{I_j \cup w_i})$). Hence, if we can find an element $\lambda \in \mathrm{ann}(\widetilde{w}_1)$, such that for any element $\beta \in V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1} \oplus \mathbf{k} \cdot \lambda$, $\beta\alpha \neq 0$, then $\dim_{\mathbf{k}}(V_\alpha) > \dim_{\mathbf{k}}(V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1})$, and so

$$\dim_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathrm{ann}(\widetilde{\omega}_1)) > \dim_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathrm{ann}(\alpha)).$$

Now let us find such an element. Suppose $\omega_1 = \{v_3, v_4\}$ and $\omega_2 = \{v_1, v_2\}$. Since $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$, we may assume $v_3 \neq v_1, v_2$. Hence by Lemma 3.2, there is an subset $I \subset [m] \setminus \{v_3\}$ such that $\omega_2 \subset I$, K_I is a triangulation of S^1 and $\widetilde{H}^0(K_J) \neq 0$, where $J = \{v_3\} \cup I \setminus \omega_2$. If $v_4 \in I \setminus \omega_2$, take λ to be a generator of $\widetilde{H}^0(K_{I \setminus \omega_2}) = \mathbf{k}$. A straightforward calculation shows that $\lambda\widetilde{\omega}_2 \neq 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathrm{ann}(\widetilde{\omega}_1)$ (since $\omega_1 \cap (I \setminus \omega_2) \neq \varnothing$). Since for any $\beta_1 \in V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1}$, there exists a subset $I_0 \subset [m]$ containing ω_1, such that $p_{I_0}(\beta_1\alpha) \neq 0$, but $\lambda\alpha \in \widetilde{H}^1(K_I)$ ($\omega_1 \not\subset I$). Hence for any $\beta \in V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1} \oplus \mathbf{k} \cdot \lambda$, $\beta\alpha \neq 0$.

If $v_4 \notin I \setminus \omega_2$, let $i : K_{I \setminus \omega_1} \to K_J$ be the simplicial inclusion, and let λ be a generator of $\widetilde{H}^0(K_J)$ such that $i^*(\lambda) \neq 0$. It is easily verified that $\lambda\widetilde{\omega}_2 \neq 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathrm{ann}(\widetilde{\omega}_1)$. Hence $\lambda\alpha \neq 0 \in \widetilde{H}^1(K_{I \cup \{v_3\}})$. On the other hand, note that $V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1} \subset \bigoplus_{\omega_1 \cap U = \varnothing} \widetilde{H}^*(K_U)$, so $V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1} \cdot \widetilde{\omega}_2 \in \bigoplus_{v_3 \notin U} \widetilde{H}^*(K_U)$, and so $p_{I \cup \{v_3\}}(V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1} \cdot \widetilde{\omega}_2) = 0$. If $v_4 \notin \omega_2$, then clearly $p_{I \cup \{v_3\}}(V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1} \cdot \omega_1) = 0$; If $v_4 \in \omega_2$, then the full subcomplex restricted on $\{v_3\} \cup I \setminus \omega_1 = I \setminus \{v_4\}$ is contractible, so $p_{I \setminus \{v_4\}}(V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1}) = 0$ which implies that $p_{I \cup \{v_3\}}(V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1} \cdot \omega_1) = 0$. Hence on either case $p_{I \cup \{v_3\}}(V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1} \cdot \alpha) = 0$. It follows that $\beta\alpha \neq 0$ for any $\beta \in V_{\widetilde{\omega}_1} \oplus \mathbf{k} \cdot \lambda$. □

Corollary 3.4. *If K and K' are both flag 2-spheres without 4-belt, and there is a graded isomorphism*

$$\phi : H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K; \mathbf{k}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{K'}; \mathbf{k}),$$

Then for any missing face $\omega \in MF(K)$, $\phi(\widetilde{\omega}) = \widetilde{\omega}'$ (up to a multiplication) for some $\omega' \in MF(K')$. Moreover for any n-belt B_n of K (denote by $\widetilde{B}_n$ the generator of $\widetilde{H}^1(B_n; \mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}$), $\phi(\widetilde{B}_n) = \widetilde{B}'_n$ (up to a multiplication) for some n-belt $B'_n \subset K'$.

Proof. Since $\widetilde{\omega} \in H^3(\mathcal{Z}_K;\mathbf{k})$ and ϕ is a graded isomorphism, then

$$\phi(\widetilde{\omega}) = \sum_{\omega' \in MF(K')} r_{\omega'} \cdot \widetilde{\omega}',\ r_{\omega'} \in \mathbf{k}.$$

The first assertion is equivalent to saying that there is exactly one $r_{\omega'} \neq 0$. Suppose on the contrary, we may assume $\phi(\widetilde{\omega}) = \widetilde{\omega}'_1 + \widetilde{\omega}'_2$. Thus one of $\widetilde{\omega}'_i$, $i = 1, 2$, say $\widetilde{\omega}'_1$ satisfies $\phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\omega}'_1) = r \cdot \widetilde{\omega} + r_0 \cdot \widetilde{\omega}_0$ with $r, r_0 \neq 0$, where $\omega_0 \in MF(K)$. According to Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathrm{ann}_R(\widetilde{\omega})) &= \dim_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathrm{ann}_{R'}(\widetilde{\omega}'_1 + \widetilde{\omega}'_2)) > \dim_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathrm{ann}_{R'}(\widetilde{\omega}'_1)) \\ &= \dim_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathrm{ann}_R(r \cdot \widetilde{\omega} + r_0 \cdot \widetilde{\omega}_0)) > \dim_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathrm{ann}_R(\widetilde{\omega})).\end{aligned}$$

We get a contradiction.

For the second assertion, suppose $MF(B_n) = \{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_t\}$ (clearly $t = \binom{n}{2} - n$). Note that $\phi(\widetilde{B}_n) = \sum_i r_i \cdot (\widetilde{B}'_n)_i$, where $\{(B'_n)_i\}$ is the n-belt set of K' (cf. the proof of [7, Corollary 7.3]). It is easy to see that $\widetilde{\omega}_j$ $(1 \leq j \leq t)$ is a factor of $\widetilde{B}_n$, and $\phi(\widetilde{\omega}_j) = \widetilde{\omega}'_j$ for some $\omega'_j \in MF(K')$. It follows that $\widetilde{\omega}'_j$ is a factor of $(\widetilde{B}'_n)_i$ whenever $r_i \neq 0$. This implies that $\omega'_j \in MF((B'_n)_i)$. So we have

$$MF((B'_n)_i) = \{\omega'_1 \ldots, \omega'_t\}, \quad \text{whenever} \ \ r_i \neq 0.$$

Since a simplicial complex is uniquely determined by its missing face set, then there is exactly one $r_i \neq 0$, which is the second assertion. □

The next step is to distinguish a class $\widetilde{B}_n \in H^{n+2}(\mathcal{Z}_K;\mathbf{k})$ for which B_n is the link of a vertex of K from another class $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_n$ for which $\mathfrak{B}_n$ is an n-belt of K but not the link of any vertex of K. That is the following:

Lemma 3.5. *If K and K' are both flag 2-spheres without 4-belt, and there is an isomorphism*

$$\phi : H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K;\mathbf{k}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{K'};\mathbf{k}),$$

then for any n-belt B_n which is the link of a vertex of K, $\phi(\widetilde{B}_n) = \widetilde{B}'_n$ (up to a multiplication) with B'_n the link of a vertex of K'.

Proof. As before, suppose $MF(B_n) = \{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_t\}$. Apparently, the $\mathbf{k}$-subspace spanned by $\{\widetilde{\omega}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{\omega}_t\}$ (denoted by V_{B_n}) is a maximal 3-factor space of $\widetilde{B}_n$ in $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K;\mathbf{k})$. Assume B_n is the link of v_{n+1} and the vertex sets of B_n and $\mathrm{star}_K(v_{n+1})$ are resp. $[n]$ and $[n+1]$. Since K has no 4-belt, then for any $v \in [m] \setminus [n+1]$, $MF(\mathrm{link}_K(v)) \cap MF(B_n) = \varnothing$. It turns out that $K_{[n]\cup\{v\}}$ has the form $B_n \bigcup_\sigma \Delta^i$ $(i = 0, 1, 2)$, where $\{v\} \in \Delta^i$ and $\sigma \in B_n$. Thus $\widetilde{H}^1(K_{[n]\cup\{v\}}) \cong \mathbf{k}$. Let $\xi_v \in H^{n+3}(\mathcal{Z}_K;\mathbf{k})$ be the element corresponding to a generator of $\widetilde{H}^1(K_{[n]\cup\{v\}})$. [7, Proposition 3.2] implies that V_{B_n} is also a maximal 3-factor space of ξ_v, and

it is easy to see that there are exactly $m-n-1$ linear independent elements $\xi_{v_{n+2}},\dots,\xi_{v_m}\in H^{n+3}(\mathcal{Z}_K;\mathbf{k})$ with this property.

Suppose $MF(B'_n)=\{\omega'_1,\dots,\omega'_t\}$, and the vertex set of B'_n is still $[n]$. From Lemma 3.3, we have that $\phi(\widetilde{\omega}_i)=\widetilde{\omega}'_i$ (up to a permutation). Suppose on the contrary that B'_n is not the link of any vertex of K'. If for any $v\in[m]\setminus[n]$, $MF(\mathrm{link}_{K'}(v))\cap MF(B'_n)=\varnothing$, then there are exactly $m-n$ linear independent elements $\xi'_{v_{n+1}},\dots,\xi'_{v_m}\in H^{n+3}(\mathcal{Z}_{K'};\mathbf{k})$ such that $V_{B'_n}=\phi(V_{B_n})$ is a maximal 3-factor space of ξ'_{v_i} for each $n+1\le i\le m$. This is impossible since ϕ is an algebra isomorphism. Hence there is at least one $v\in[m]\setminus[n]$ such that $MF(\mathrm{link}_{K'}(v))\cap MF(B'_n)\neq\varnothing$. An easy observation shows that there always exists an l-belt ($l\le n$) B'_l (with vertex set $\mathcal{L}$) in K' such that $v\in\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}\setminus\{v\}\subset[n]$. (see Figure 2 for an example). It is easy to see that $MF(B'_l)\cap MF(B'_n)$ contains $s=\binom{l}{2}-2l+3$



FIGURE 2. $n=6,\ l=5$ and $\mathcal{L}=\{v_1,v_2,v_3,v_4,v\}$

missing faces. We may assume $MF(B'_l)\cap MF(B'_n)=\{\omega'_1,\dots,\omega'_s\}$. By Corollary 3.4, $\phi^{-1}(\widetilde{B}'_l)=\widetilde{B}_l$ for some l-belt B_l in K, and $MF(B_l)\cap MF(B_n)=\{\omega_1,\dots,\omega_s\}$. Together with the fact that $s=\binom{l}{2}-2l+3$, we can obtain that there is exactly one vertex v of B_l, which is not in $[n+1]$. Thus $MF(\mathrm{link}_K(v))\cap MF(B_n)\neq\varnothing$, and we get a contradiction. Therefore B'_n has to be the link of a vertex of K'. □

Since a belt which is the link of a vertex can uniquely determine a vertex of K, thus combining all the results above, we can construct a 1-to-1 correspondence between the vertex sets of K and K', $\psi:\mathcal{S}\to\mathcal{S}'$, which is induced by the isomorphism

$$\phi: H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K;\mathbf{k})\cong H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{K'};\mathbf{k}).$$

Next we show that

Lemma 3.6. *The vertex sets correspondence ψ above is actually a simplicial map, and so a simplicial isomorphism.*

Proof. We only need to verify that for each edge e of K, $\psi(e)$ is an edge of K' (since by flagness there is no 3-belt in K and K'). An easy observation shows

that if (v_i, v_j) is an edge of K, then $B_{n_i} = \mathrm{link}_K(v_i)$ and $B_{n_j} = \mathrm{link}_K(v_j)$ have a common missing face ω. Thus $\widetilde{\omega}$ is a common factor of $\widetilde{B}_{n_i}$ and $\widetilde{B}_{n_j}$, and $\phi(\widetilde{\omega})$ is a common factor of $\phi(\widetilde{B}_{n_i})$ and $\phi(\widetilde{B}_{n_j})$. However if $\psi((v_i, v_j)) = \{v'_i, v'_j\}$ is a missing face of K', then $MF(\mathrm{link}_{K'}(v_{i'})) \cap MF(\mathrm{link}_{K'}(v_{j'})) = \varnothing$ since K' has no 4-belt (Otherwise if ω' is a common missing face then $K'_{\omega' \cup \{v'_i, v'_j\}}$ is a 4-belt). Set $B'_{n_i} = \mathrm{link}_{K'}(v_{i'})$ and $B'_{n_j} = \mathrm{link}_{K'}(v_{j'})$, then $\phi(\widetilde{B}_{n_i}) = \widetilde{B}'_{n_i}$, $\phi(\widetilde{B}_{n_j}) = \widetilde{B}'_{n_j}$ by the definition of ψ. Note $\phi(\widetilde{\omega}) = \widetilde{\omega}'$ for some $\omega' \in MF(K')$ by Corollary 3.4, it follows from the fact $MF(B'_{n_i}) \cap MF(B'_{n_j}) = \varnothing$ that $\phi(\widetilde{\omega})$ is not a common factor of $\widetilde{B}'_{n_i}$ and $\widetilde{B}'_{n_j}$, a contradiction. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Since K is a simplical 2-sphere, $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K)$ is torsion free, hence $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K; \mathbf{k}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K) \otimes \mathbf{k}$ for any field $\mathbf{k}$. It follows that $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K; \mathbf{k}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{K'}; \mathbf{k})$ for any field $\mathbf{k}$. From [7, Theorem 3.11 and Theorem 5.7], a simplicial 2-sphere K is flag if and only if $\widetilde{H}^*(\mathcal{Z}_K)/([\mathcal{Z}_K])$ is a (nonzero) indecomposable ring. By [7, Corollary 7.3], for any simplicial complex K, there exists an element $\xi \in H^6(\mathcal{Z}_K; \mathbf{k})$ such that $\mathrm{ind}^3_R(\xi) = 2$ if and only if there is a 4-belt in K. Hence we finish the proof of Theorem 3.1 for the case K' is a simplicial 2-sphere. □

From the proof of this theorem we also obtain the following:

Corollary 3.7. *If K is a flag 2-sphere without 4-belt, then any automorphism of $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K)$ is induced by a combinatorial automorphism of K, i.e.,*

$$Aut(H^*(K)) \cong Aut(K).$$

The general case that K' is an arbitrary simplicial complex in Theorem 3.1 can be solved, once we prove the following:

Theorem 3.8. *Let K be a simplical 2-sphere, K' an arbitrary simplicial complex. If there is a graded isomorphism:*

$$H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K) \cong H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{K'}),$$

then K' is also a simplicial 2-sphere.

To prove this, we need a generalization of the famous *Lower Bound Theorem* in combinatorial theory, which was first proved by Barnette [1] for simplicial polytopes

Lower Bound Theorem ([1, Barnette])**.** Let P be a simplicial n-polytope with m vertices, and let f_1 be the number of edges of P. Then $f_1 \geq mn - \binom{n+1}{2}$.

Actually by using some results about Gorenstein* complexes and following the line of Barnette's proof, we can generalize this result to (see this in a forthcoming paper on Generalized Lower Bound Theorem by F. Fan)

Theorem 3.9. *Let K be a $(n-1)$ dimensional Gorenstein* complexes with m vertices, and let f_1 be the number of edges of K. Then $f_1 \geq mn - \binom{n+1}{2}$.*

Proof of Theorem 3.8. According to Theorem 2.6, $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K;\mathbf{k}) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_{\mathbf{k}[m]}(\mathbf{k}(K),\mathbf{k})$ is a Poincaré algebra for any field $\mathbf{k}$. Since $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K) \cong H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{K'})$, the argument in the proof of Theorem 3.1 shows that $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K;\mathbf{k}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{K'};\mathbf{k})$ for any field $\mathbf{k}$. Therefore K' is also a Gorenstein* complex. By using Theorem 3.9 and applying the same argument in the proof of [7, Theorem 6.10], we get that K' is a simplical 2-sphere. □

Now we give an application of Theorem 3.1 in combinatorial mathematics, which is related with chemistry and material science (cf. [10] for more studies about this).

Definition 3.10. A (mathematical) fullerene is a simple 3-polytope with all facets pentagons and hexagons.

Theorem 3.11 ([10, Buchstaber-Erokhovets])**.** *The dual simplicial 2-sphere of any fullerene is flag and has no 4-belt.*

From Theorem 3.1, we immediately get the following

Corollary 3.12. *Every fullerene is B-rigid.*

References

[1] D. Barnette. A proof of the lower bound conjecture for convex polytopes. *Pacific J. Math.*, 46:349–354, 1973.

[2] I. V. Baskakov. Cohomology of K-powers of spaces and the combinatorics of simplicial divisions. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 57(5):147–148 (Russian), 2002. Russian Math. Surveys, **57**(2002), no. 5, 898-990 (English translation).

[3] W. Bruns and J. Herzog. *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, volume 39 of *Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math.* Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.

[4] V. M. Buchstaber. Lectures on toric topology. In *In Proceedings of Toric Topology Workshop KAIST 2008*, volume 10 of *Trends in Math.*, pages 1–64, KAIST, 2008.

[5] V. M. Buchstaber and T. E. Panov. *Torus Actions and Their Applications in Topology and Combinatorics*, volume 24 of *University Lecture Series*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 2002.

[6] V. M. Buchstaber and T. E. Panov. Toric topology. *A book project*, 2013. arXiv:1210.2368.

[7] F. Fan and X. Wang. Cohomology rings of moment-angle complexes. Preprint, arXiv:1508.00159, 2015.

[8] M. Hochster. Cohen macaulay rings, combinatorics, and simplicial complexes. In *in: Ring Theory, II, Proc. Second Conf., Univ. Oklahoma, Norman, Okla., 1975*, volume 26 of *in: Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math.*, pages 171–233. Dekker, New York, 1977.

[9] T. E. Panov. Cohomology of face rings, and torus actions. In *Surveys in Contemporary Mathematics, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series*, volume 347, pages 165–201. Cambridge, U.K., 2008.

[10] N. Erokhovets V. Buchstaber. Construction of fullerens. Preprint, arXiv:1510.02948, 2015.

Feifei Fan, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of sciences, Beijing 100190, P. R. China

E-mail address: fanfeifei@mail.nankai.edu.cn

Jun Ma, School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, P. R. China

E-mail address: tonglunlun@gmail.com

Xiangjun Wang, School of Mathematical Sciences, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P. R. China

E-mail address: xjwang@nankai.edu.cn